UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,458	06/10/2005	Lonnie Goff	US02 0598 US2	3872
65913 NXP , B.V.	7590 07/07/200	EXAMINER		
NXP INTELLE	ECTUAL PROPERTY	MAMO, ELIAS		
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2184	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/538,458	GOFF, LONNIE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ELIAS MAMO	2184	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:
/Henry W.H. Tsai/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2184

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicants argued that "the 262 reference do not teach a subsystem that includes a read-only storage portion that stores multiple sets of configuration data or loading one of the configuration data from the read-only storage portion into multiple registers of the subsystem, as claimed" (page 6, 3rd paragraph, lines 1-4).

The Examiner disagrees with the above statement. The cited art for the above claimed feature is the Wu reference but not the '262 reference. Wu teaches that the microprocessor stores the configurations of each endpoint into the endpoint configuration mechanism 424 (page 4, paragraph 47, lines 3-6), and it is commonly known in the art to store the configuration data in a type of memory in which a person of ordinary skill in the art finds suitable such as ROM, RAM and etc.. Thus, the claimed invention is an obvious variation of Wu's teaching which can be done by ordinary artisan in the art at the time of the invention was made.

Applicants also argued that "...the '262 reference does not teach loading one of he sets of configuration data from mechanism 424 into memory buffer 440, as claimed." (page 6, 3rd paragraph, lines 12-14).

The Examiner disagrees with the above statement. Again, the cited art for the above claimed feature is the Wu reference but not the '262 reference. Wu discloses that the memory configuration mechanism of endpoint stores the configuration data in the memory buffer. (Page 2, paragraph 26, lines 5-8)

Applicants also argued that "...the Examiner appears to have improperly relied upon Official Notice without providing documentary evidence..." (Page 7, lines 9-10)

The Examiner did not rely on Official Notice in the rejection and disagrees with the above statement. And also, the Applicants seem to confuse the Wu reference with the '262 reference, and note should be taken.

2